

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th St., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

03 NOV 21 AH 8:40

Facility Name: J.B.C., Inc.

Location: 1414 E. 20th St, Scottsbluff, Nebraska 69361

Owner/Operator: Jack Copsey

(Respondent)

On July 31, 2002, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i) 22.13(b) and 22.18(b), published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40137 on July 23, 1999. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$\frac{\$400.00}{\$000.00}\$. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above.

Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$400.00 payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," to: "Regional Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101". Respondent has noted on the penalty p ayment check "CWA-07-2003-0269" the docket number of this case.

(DO NOT MAKE CHECK OUT TO HEARING CLERK)

DOCKET NO: CWA-07-2003-0289 Respondent shiftliffity for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPOC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Scttlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Date:

Chief, Energency Plans and Response Branch,
Superfund Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): JACK E CORSEY, 37

Title (print): Production of the constant of

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

te 1/2/1/2/1/2003

Regional Judicial Officer

INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE

<u>Instructions</u>

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has authority under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act to pursue civil penalties for violations of the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures ("SPCC") regulations. However, EPA encourages the expedited settlement of easily verifiable violations of SPCC requirements, such as the violations cited in this Expedited Settlement Agreement.

You may resolve the cited violations quickly by signing and returning the Expedited Settlement Agreement (Agreement) and paying the penalty amount within 30 days of your receipt of the Agreement. Failure to return the Agreement within the approved time does not relieve you of the responsibility to comply fully with the regulations, including correcting the violations that have been specifically identified by the inspector. If you decide not to sign and return the Agreement and not pay the penalty, EPA can pursue more formal enforcement measures to correct the violation(s) and seek penalties of up to \$11,000 per violation up to a maximum penalty of \$27,500.

You are required in the Agreement to certify that you have corrected the violations and paid the penalty amount. The payment for the penalty amount must be in the form of a certified check payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," with the Docket Number of the Expedited Settlement Agreement on the check. The Docket Number is located at the top of the right column of the Agreement.

The Agreement and Payment of the penalty amount shall be sent via <u>certified</u> <u>mail</u> to:

Regional Hearing Clerk Office of Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

By the terms of the Agreement, you waive your opportunity for a hearing pursuant to Section 311 of the Clean Water Act. EPA will treat any response to the proposed Agreement, other than acceptance of the settlement offer, as an indication that you are not interested in pursuing this expedited settlement procedure.

If you have any questions, you may contact the EPA Region 7 SPCC Compliance Coordinator at (913) 551-7251.

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary contamment)

Docket Number: CWA

MYED OFFICE

These Findings: Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Fig. of 1999.

Commany Name

	- 2 0 0 3 - 0 2 6 9 4	
Facility Name	T - 2 0 0 3 - 0 2 6 9	
i f f C . are	July 31, 2002	
-Nodies;	Inspection Number	
1414 E 20" Street, Suite 6	F Y - I N S P - 0 2 . 0	
City.	Inspector's Name:	
Scousbluff	Jeff Weatherford	
State. Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
NF 69361	Robert Jackson	
Encility Contact:	Enforcement Contacts:	
Juci. Copsey	Bob Weither Phone Number: (913)551-7051 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)551-7047	
	ulk Storage Facilities) 2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1.000.00.	
GENERAL TOPICS: 11: (Witen the SPCC Pian review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Counter	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) measure Plan	
GENERAL TOPICS: 112 (Witen the SPCC Pian review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Counters Plan not certified by a professional engine	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1.000.00.1 measure Pian \$1.000.00 eer 300.00	
GENERAL TOPICS: 113 (Witten the SPCC Plan review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) measure Pian	
GENERAL TOPICS: 112 (Witten the SPCC Pian review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.00 eer	
GENERAL TOPICS: 113 (Witten the SPCC Plan review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if fac	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1.000.00.) measure Pian	
GENERAL TOPICS: 113 (Witten the SPCC Plan review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if factors) No evidence of three-year review of plan	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) measure Pian	
GENERAL TOPICS: 112 (Witten the SPCC Plan review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if factors) No plan amendment(s) if the facility has been applied to the second of	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) measure Pian	
GENERAL TOPICS: 11: (Witen the SPCC Pian review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Counters Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if factors) No evidence of three-year review of plan No plan amendment(s) if the facility has been maintenance which affects the facility:	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.1 measure Pian \$1.000.00 eer \$300.00 ility is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) \$100.00 by owner/operator \$50.00 had a change in: design, construction, operation, s discharge potential \$50.00	
GENERAL TOPICS: 112 (Witten the SPCC Pian review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if fact No evidence of three-year review of plan No plan amendment(s) if the facility has hor maintenance which affects the facility's Amendment(s) not certified by a profession	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) measure Pian \$1.000.00 eer \$300.00 ility is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) \$100.00 by owner/operator \$50.00 nad a change in: design, construction, operation, s discharge potential \$50.00 onal engineer \$100.60	
GENERAL TOPICS: 112 (Witten the SPCC Pian review penalty exceed) No Spill Prevention Control and Countern Plan not certified by a professional engine No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if fact No evidence of three-year review of plan No plan amendment(s) if the facility has been maintenance which affects the facility's Amendment(s) not certified by a profession Inadequate or no prediction of equipment	2.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) eds \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) measure Pian \$1.000.00 eer \$300.00 ility is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) \$100.00 by owner/operator \$50.00 had a change in: design, construction, operation, s discharge potential \$50.00	

Clar	ming installation of appropriate containment/diversionary structures is impractical but:				
	No contingency plan				
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials				
	Written Procedures and Inspection Records 112.7(e)(8)				
	Inspections required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility				
	Writter procedures and a record of inspections are not signed by facility supervisor				
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not made part of the plan				
<u>5</u>	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not maintained for three years				
-	Personnel Training and Spilt Prevention Procedures 112.7(e)(10)				
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges				
	No training on the applicable laws, rules, and regulations				
	No designated person responsible for spill prevention				
	Smill provention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically 5 5.5				
\sum	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures 5.200				
<u></u>	FACILITY DRAINAGE, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(1)				
	Valves used to drain diked areas are not of manual, open-and-closed design (note: flapper-type valves should not be used)				
	Pumps or ejectors not manually activated when diked storage areas drained				
	Drainage from undiked areas not into ponds, lagoons, or catchment basins, or no diversion systems to return spills to the facility.				
\overline{z}	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage				
BULK STORAGE TANKS (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(2)					
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the material stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature				
	Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate				
	Materials of construction are not sufficiently impervious				
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity of the containment system				
	Walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas				

₹Vh	en drainage from diked areas is to a storm drain, open water course, or lake or pond:		
	Bypass valve not normally scaled closed		
-	Runoff rain water not inspected and/or will cause a harmful discharge as defined in 40 CFP, 110 300 06		
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision		
	Adequate repords of drainage events are not maintained		
	Underground tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing. 1.105.000		
 -	Partially baried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion.		
	A boyeground tanks not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as visual, hydrostatic, and nondestructive methods, etc		
	Outside of tank not frequently observed for signs of deterioration, leaks which might cause a spill, or accumulation of oil inside diked area, t		
	Steam return fexhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system		
	Records of inspections of aboveground tankts are not maintained		
	Tanks are not 'fail-safe" engineered:		
	No audible or visual high liquid level alarm, or		
	No high-level pump outoff devices set to stop flow at a predetermined tank content level, or 30(20)		
	No direct communications between tank gauger and pumping station, or		
	No fast response system for determining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or direct vision gauges		
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation		
	Disposal facilities which discharge plant effluents directly to navigable waters are not monitored frequently to detect oil spills		
	Visible oil leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected300.00		
	Mobile or portable storage tanks are not positioned to prevent spilled oil from reaching navigable water, or are in area subject to flooding		
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks		
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks		
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS. PUMPING, AND IN-PLANT PROCESSES, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(3)			
	Buried piping not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection 100.00		
	Corrective action not taken on buried piping when corrosion damage found		
	Terminal connections at transfer points on not-in-service or standby pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin		

	Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corresion. and allow for expansion and contraction.	. (1.10)
	Abor eground valves and pipelines are not inspected regularly	24.500
	Poriodic pressure testing of the valves and pipelines is not conducted	
	Vehicle traffic not warned verbally or by appropriate signs of aboveground piping	in the special
	Pion has madequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and in-plant processor.	.F
£ A C	THETY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK, ONSHORE 112.7(e)(4)	
	lnadequate secondary comainment, and or rack drainage does not flow to catchment busin, treatment system, or quick drainage system.	
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck.	7 m m
	There is no interlocked warning light, physical barrier system, or warning signs to prevent volucular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines.	26.7.5
	There is no unspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck.	 127 1
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading, ruck	
SEÇ	URITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(9)	
	Facility not fully lenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production.	 G. 8680.
X	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow of tank's contents to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status.	
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status.	£14.6 ×
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service	
	Facility lighting not commensurate with the type and location of facility to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism.	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security	

IN THE MATTER OF J.B.C., Inc., Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2003-0269

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristina Kemp Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Jack E. Copsey, Jr.
President
J.B.C., Inc.
1414 E 20th Street, Suite 6
Scottsbluff, Nebraska 69361

Copy by First Class Mail to:

US. Coast Guard Finance Center (OGR) 1430A Kristina Way Chesapeake, VA 23326

Dated: 11/21/03

Kathy Robinson

Regional Hearing Clerk